

CDT and the Big Bang¹

J. Ambjørn^{a,b} and Y. Watabiki^c

^a The Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University
Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

^b Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics (IMAPP)
Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen,
The Netherlands.

^c Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Dept. of Physics, High Energy Theory Group,
2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Abstract

We describe a CDT-like model where breaking of W_3 symmetry will lead to the emergence of time and subsequently of space. Surprisingly the simplest such models which lead to higher dimensional spacetimes are based on the four “magical” Jordan algebras of 3×3 Hermitian matrices with real, complex, quaternion and octonion entries, respectively. The simplest symmetry breaking leads to universes with spacetime dimensions 3, 4, 6, and 10.

¹Presented at the 3rd Conference of the Polish Society on Relativity. To appear in the proceedings.

Introduction

String field theory is notoriously complicated, but so-called non-critical string field theory [1, 2, 3] is a lot simpler. An even simpler version is the so-called CDT string field theory [4]. The starting point is the continuum limit of two-dimensional causal dynamical triangulations (CDT) [5], a limit which is two-dimensional Hořava-Lifshitz quantum gravity if spacetime topology is trivial [6]. However, here we are interested in a generalized CDT where spacetime topology can change [7]. This theory describes the dynamics of topology changes of two-dimensional spacetime. One has creation and annihilation operators, $\Psi^\dagger(L)$ and $\Psi(L)$, for spatial universes of length L and the Hamiltonian involves terms like

$$\Psi^\dagger(L_1)\Psi^\dagger(L_2)\Psi(L_1+L_2), \quad \Psi^\dagger(L_1+L_2)\Psi(L_2)\Psi(L_1), \quad (1)$$

which describe the splitting of a spatial universe in two, and the merging of two spatial universes into one such universe.

Although string field theory deals with splitting and merging of spatial universes, at the end of the day it tells us surprising little about the creation of a universe from “nothing”, i.e. Big Bang. This led us to look for some general symmetry, the breaking of which could result in a Big Bang scenario. It is possible to derive the CDT string field Hamiltonian by starting out with a $W^{(3)}$ -symmetric theory which *a priori* has no spacetime interpretation. However, when the $W^{(3)}$ symmetry is broken “time” and correspondingly the (CDT) Hamiltonian will “emerge”, but in such a way that also space can be created from “nothing”. Below we will shortly describe how this is realized. However, in this way one only obtains a one-dimensional space. We will then argue that this one-dimensional scenario can be generalized to 2,3,5 and 9 spatial dimensions if one considers $W^{(3)}$ algebras with intrinsic symmetries related to one of the so-called “magical” Jordan algebras.

Why $W^{(3)}$?

When one discusses splitting and joining of strings one encounters terms like (1). In the case of ordinary non-critical string field theory this lead to a special $W^{(3)}$ algebra which ensures that the partition function is a τ -function [8]. In the case of CDT string field theory we now promote the $W^{(3)}$ -symmetry to the starting principle.

The formal definition of $W^{(3)}$ operators in terms of operators α_n satisfying

$$[\alpha_m, \alpha_n] = m \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (2)$$

is the following

$$W_n^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k,l,m} : \alpha_k \alpha_l \alpha_m : \delta_{k+l+m,n}. \quad (3)$$

The normal ordering $:(\cdot):$ refers to the α_n operators (α_n to the left of α_m for $n > m$. This ordering is opposite to the one conventionally used. See [3] for the motivation for such a choice).

We then define the “absolute vacuum” $|0\rangle$ by the following condition:

$$\alpha_n|0\rangle = 0, \quad n < 0, \quad (4)$$

and the so-called W -Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_W$ by

$$\hat{H}_W := -W_{-2}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{k,l,m} : \alpha_k \alpha_l \alpha_m : \delta_{k+l+m,-2}. \quad (5)$$

Note that $\hat{H}_W$ does not contain any coupling constants.

It was shown in [9] that by introducing a coherent state, which is an eigenstate of α_{-1} and α_{-3} and which we denoted the “physical” vacuum state $|\text{vac}\rangle$, $\hat{H}_W$ was closely related to the CDT string field Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. We thus defined

$$|\text{vac}\rangle \propto e^{\lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_3 \alpha_3} |0\rangle, \quad (6)$$

and we have

$$\alpha_{-1}|\text{vac}\rangle = \lambda_1|\text{vac}\rangle, \quad \alpha_{-3}|\text{vac}\rangle = 3\lambda_3|\text{vac}\rangle. \quad (7)$$

The main point is the following: because $\langle \text{vac} | \alpha_n | \text{vac} \rangle$ is different from zero for $n = -1$ and $n = -3$, $\hat{H}_W$ will now contain terms only involving two operators α_l . These terms can act like quadratic terms in $\hat{H}$. At the same time the cubic terms left in $\hat{H}_W$ will act like the interaction terms in $\hat{H}$, resulting in joining and splitting of universes. Finally, the expectation values of α_{-1} and α_{-3} determine the coupling constants of $\hat{H}$. More precisely one has [9]

$$\hat{H}_W \propto \hat{H} + c_4 \phi_4^\dagger + c_2 \phi_2^\dagger, \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the CDT string field Hamiltonian. c_4 and c_2 are constants. The creation operators $\phi_n^\dagger$ are the α_n , $n > 0$, while annihilation operators ϕ_n are related to α_n , $n < 0$, except that ϕ_1 and ϕ_3 are shifted by eigenvalues given in eq. (7), such that $\phi_n|\text{vac}\rangle = 0$. $\hat{H}$ is normal ordered such that $\hat{H}|\text{vac}\rangle = 0$.

By breaking the $W^{(3)}$ symmetry one can thus obtain CDT string field theory except for one important point: *the vacuum is not stable*. The terms $c_4 \phi_4^\dagger + c_2 \phi_2^\dagger$ cause universes of infinitesimal length to be created and the non-interacting part of $\hat{H}$, which explicitly can be written as

$$\hat{H}_0 = - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \phi_{l+1}^\dagger l \phi_l + \mu \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \phi_{l-1}^\dagger l \phi_l, \quad (9)$$

might expand such an infinitesimal length space to macroscopic size. The precise relation between the operators ϕ_l , $\phi_l^\dagger$ and the operators $\Psi(L)$, $\Psi^\dagger(L)$ which annihilate

and create spatial universes of macroscopic length L is as follows

$$\Psi^\dagger(L) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{L^l}{l!} \phi_l^\dagger, \quad (10)$$

and thus operators $\phi_l^\dagger$ with small l create only infinitesimal size spatial universes.

Generalization to higher dimensions

Above the spatial universe created from nothing was one-dimensional. We can introduce higher dimensional spaces by attaching intrinsic “flavors” to different spatial directions. In addition we want to be able to rotate these flavors into each other. This leads to so-called extended $W^{(3)}$ -algebras, which again are related to Jordan algebras [10]. Surprisingly it turns out that only the four so-called magical Jordan algebras allow us to make symmetry breakings which lead to CDT-like Hamiltonians of the kind considered above.

We find for the $W^{(3)}$ Hamiltonian the expression

$$\hat{H}_W := -W_{-2}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{k,l,m} \sum_{a,b,c} d_{abc} : \alpha_k^{(a)} \alpha_l^{(b)} \alpha_m^{(c)} : \delta_{k+l+m,-2}, \quad (11)$$

where d_{abc} are the structure constants for the Jordan algebras. The magical Jordan algebras are Hermitean 3×3 matrices $H_3(\mathbb{F})$, where $\mathbb{F}$ denotes $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ (the real numbers, the complex number, the quaternions and the octonions) and the structure constants are related to the standard Gell-Mann d_{abc} for $H_3(\mathbb{C})$ in a simple way. Again the model only allows a spacetime interpretation after choosing a specific coherent state. Here we discuss only the simplest, interesting choice, namely breaking in the 8-direction (in the notation of Gell-Mann). Instead of (6) and (7) we can choose

$$|\text{vac}\rangle_8 \propto e^{\lambda_1^{(8)} \alpha_1^{(8)} + \lambda_3^{(8)} \alpha_3^{(8)}} |0\rangle, \quad (12)$$

and we have

$$\alpha_{-1}^{(8)} |\text{vac}\rangle_8 = \lambda_1^{(8)} |\text{vac}\rangle_8, \quad \alpha_{-3}^{(8)} |\text{vac}\rangle_8 = 3\lambda_3^{(8)} |\text{vac}\rangle_8. \quad (13)$$

Again one obtains an unstable vacuum. The kinetic, non-interacting part of the Hamiltonian (the part corresponding to (9)) has coefficients d_{ab8} and in fact only coefficients d_{aa8} are different from zero. One can argue [11] that in order for the non-interacting part of the Hamiltonian to allow a universe to expand from infinitesimal size to macroscopic size one has to demand that the coefficients $d_{aa8} > 0$. When we then look at the four magical algebras, we have for $H_3(\mathbb{R})$ two indices a where $d_{aa8} = 1/\sqrt{3}$. For $H_3(\mathbb{C})$ we have three indices a where $d_{aa8} = 1/\sqrt{3}$. For $H_3(\mathbb{H})$ we have five indices a where $d_{aa8} = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and finally for $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ we have nine indices a where $d_{aa8} = 1/\sqrt{3}$.

The rest of the d_{aa8} are non-positive. The symmetry breaking corresponds to breaking the automorphism group of the $W^{(3)}$ algebras from $SO(3)$ to $SO(2)$, from $SU(3)$ to $SO(3)$, from $USp(6)$ to $SO(5)$ and finally from F_4 to $SO(9)$. The extended spacetime dimensions will be (including the time) 2+1, 3+1, 5+1 and 9+1 which are the dimensions of the classical superstrings. It is an interesting question if one can consider the flavor indices a of space as reflecting matter fields partly integrated out. It opens for the exciting possibility that the matter content is unique.

Acknowledgments

JA and YW acknowledge support from the ERC-Advance grant 291092, “Exploring the Quantum Universe” (EQU).

References

- [1] N. Ishibashi and H. Kawai, Phys. Lett. B **314** (1993) 190 [hep-th/9307045]. Phys. Lett. B **322** (1994) 67 [hep-th/9312047]. Phys. Lett. B **352** (1995) 75 [hep-th/9503134].
- [2] Y. Watabiki, Nucl. Phys. B **441** (1995) 119 [hep-th/9401096]. Phys. Lett. B **346** (1995) 46 [hep-th/9407058].
- [3] J. Ambjorn and Y. Watabiki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12** (1997) 4257 [hep-th/9604067].
- [4] J. Ambjorn, R. Loll, Y. Watabiki, W. Westra and S. Zohren, JHEP **0805** (2008) 032 [arXiv:0802.0719 [hep-th]].
- [5] J. Ambjorn and R. Loll, Nucl.Phys.B **536** (1998) 407-434, [hep-th/9805108].
- [6] J. Ambjorn, L. Glaser, Y. Sato and Y. Watabiki, Phys. Lett. B **722** (2013) 172 [arXiv:1302.6359 [hep-th]].
- [7] J. Ambjorn, R. Loll, W. Westra and S. Zohren, JHEP **0712** (2007) 017 [arXiv:0709.2784 [gr-qc]].
J. Ambjorn, R. Loll, Y. Watabiki, W. Westra and S. Zohren, Phys. Lett. B **665** (2008) 252 [arXiv:0804.0252 [hep-th]].
J. Ambjorn and T. G. Budd, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46** (2013) 315201 [arXiv:1302.1763 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6** (1991) 1385. Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992) 371.

- A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B **252** (1990) 47.
A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **7** (1992) 1345.
- [9] J. Ambjorn and Y. Watabiki, Phys. Lett. B **749** (2015) 149
doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.07.067 [arXiv:1505.04353 [hep-th]].
- [10] L. J. Romans, Nucl. Phys. B **352** (1991) 829. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(91)90108-A
- [11] J. Ambjorn and Y. Watabiki, arXiv:1703.04402 [hep-th].